

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
30 September 2004 (30.09.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/083898 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: **G01V 11/00**
- (21) International Application Number:
PCT/GB2004/001144
- (22) International Filing Date: 17 March 2004 (17.03.2004)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
0306059.7 17 March 2003 (17.03.2003) GB
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **ELECTROMAGNETIC GEOSERVICES AS** [NO/NO]; Stiklestadvæien 1, N-7041 Trondheim (NO).
- (71) Applicant (for US only): **REES, David, Christopher** [GB/GB]; Kilburn & Strode, 20 Red Lion Street, London WC1R 4PJ (GB).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **EIDESMO, Terje** [NO/NO]; Markapl. 5, N-7054 RANHEIM (NO). **ELLINGSRUD, Svein** [NO/NO]; Tyholt alle 9A, N-7052 Trondheim (NO). **FARESTVEIT, Anders** [NO/NO]; Kollevæien 15, N-1397 Nesoya (NO). **PEDERSEN, Hans, Magne** [NO/NO]; Hans Høgrups gate 8, N-7012 Trondheim (NO). **JOHANSEN, Stale** [NO/NO]; Loddgardstoa 27B, N-7224 Melhus (NO).
- (74) Agents: **REES, David, Christopher et al.**; Kilburn & Strode, 20 Red Lion Street, London WC1R 4PJ (GB).
- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETERMINING THE NATURE OF SUBMARINE RESERVOIRS

(57) Abstract: A system for investigating subterranean strata. An electromagnetic field and a seismic event are applied from the same location and the responses are detected using respective receivers both located at a second location spaced from the first. The responses are combined to identify the presence and/or nature of subterranean reservoir. The refracted wave components are used.



WO 2004/083898 A1

Method and Apparatus for Determining
the Nature of Submarine Reservoirs

5 The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for detecting and determining the nature of submarine and subterranean reservoirs. The invention is particularly suitable for determining whether a reservoir contains hydrocarbons or water, and also for detecting reservoirs with particular characteristics.

10 Currently, the most widely used techniques for geological surveying, particularly in sub-marine situations, are seismic methods. These seismic techniques are capable of revealing the structure of the subterranean strata with some accuracy. However, whereas a seismic survey can reveal the location and shape of a potential reservoir, it can normally not reveal the nature of the reservoir.

15 The solution therefore is to drill a borehole into the reservoir. However, the costs involved in drilling an exploration well tend to be in the region of £25m and since the success rate is generally about 1 in 10, this tends to be a very costly exercise.

20 It is therefore an object of the invention to provide a system for locating a subterranean reservoir and for determining, its nature with greater certainty, without the need to sink a borehole.

25 It has been appreciated by the present applicants that while the seismic properties of hydrocarbon filled strata and water-filled strata do not differ significantly, their electromagnetic resistivities do differ. Thus, by using an electromagnetic surveying method, these differences can be exploited and the success rate in predicting the nature of a reservoir can be increased significantly.

30 Consequently, a method and apparatus embodying these principles form the basis of the present applicant's co-pending British patent application No. 0002422.4.

This contemplates a method of determining the nature of a subterranean reservoir whose approximate geometry and location are known, which comprises: applying a time varying electromagnetic field to the strata containing the reservoir; detecting the electromagnetic wave field response; seeking in the wave field response, a component
5 representing a refracted wave from the hydrocarbon layer; and determining the content of the reservoir, based on the presence or absence of a wave component refracted by the hydrocarbon layer.

10 It also contemplates a method for searching for a hydrocarbon containing subterranean reservoir which comprises: applying a time varying electromagnetic field to subterranean strata; detecting the electromagnetic wave field response; seeking, in the wave field response, a component representing a refracted wave; and determining the presence and/or nature of any reservoir identified based on the presence or absence of
15 a wave component refracted by hydrocarbon layer.

It further contemplates an apparatus for determining the nature of a subterranean reservoir whose approximate geometry and location are known, or for searching for a hydrocarbon containing subterranean reservoir, the apparatus comprising: means for
20 applying a time varying electromagnetic field to the strata containing the reservoir; means for detecting the electromagnetic wave field response; and means for seeking, in the wave field response, a component representing a refracted wave, thereby enabling the presence and/or nature of a reservoir to be determined.

25 A refracted wave behaves differently, depending on the nature of the stratum in which it is propagated. In particular, the propagation losses in hydrocarbon stratum are much lower than in a water-bearing stratum while the speed of propagation is much higher. Thus, when an oil-bearing reservoir is present, and an EM field is applied, a strong and rapidly propagated refracted wave can be detected. This may therefore indicate the
30 presence of the reservoir or its nature if its presence is already known.

Electromagnetic surveying techniques in themselves are known. However, they are not widely used in practice. In general, the reservoirs of interest are about 1 km or more below the seabed. In order to carry out electromagnetic surveying as a stand
5 alone technique in these conditions, with any reasonable degree of resolution, short wavelengths are necessary. Unfortunately, such short wavelengths suffer from very high attenuation. Long wavelengths do not provide adequate resolution.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method and apparatus for reliably
10 locating and identifying submarine reservoirs, in particular, hydrocarbon reservoirs, but at a reduced cost and with reduced operational requirements.

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of producing a survey report of subterranean strata which comprises: deploying an electromagnetic
15 (EM) field transmitter; deploying a seismic source at substantially the same location as the EM field transmitter; deploying an EM field receiver at a predetermined offset distance from the transmitter; deploying a seismic receiver at substantially the same location as the EM field receiver; applying an EM field to the strata using the EM field transmitter; detecting the EM wave field response using the EM field receiver;
20 applying a seismic event to the strata using the seismic source at substantially the same location as the EM field transmitter; detecting the seismic response using the seismic receiver at substantially the same location as the EM field receiver; analysing the EM wave field response; analysing the seismic response and reconciling the two responses, in order to produce a report on the presence and nature of the strata.

25

Preferably the method includes extracting and using phase and/or amplitude
information from the responses. Preferably, the method includes identifying the refracted wave component of the EM wave field response, identifying the refracted wave component of the seismic response, and using the two refracted wave
30 components to produce the survey report. Preferably, phase and/or amplitude

information from the two refracted wave components is used.

According to another aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of producing a survey report of subterranean strata using an EM wave field response from an applied EM field and a seismic response from an applied seismic event, the method
5 comprising: identifying the refracted wave component of the EM wave field response; identifying the refracted wave component of the seismic response; and using the two refracted wave components to produce a report on the presence and nature of the strata.

10

Again, preferably, phase and/or amplitude information from the two refracted wave components is used. The method preferably includes the steps of: deploying an EM field transmitter; deploying a seismic source; deploying an EM field receiver at a predetermined offset from the EM field transmitter; deploying a seismic receiver at a
15 predetermined offset from the seismic source; applying an EM field to the strata using the EM field transmitter; detecting the EM wave field response using the EM field receiver; applying a seismic event to the strata using the seismic source; and detecting the seismic response using the seismic receiver.

20 Preferably, the EM field transmitter, the seismic source and the two receivers are all in the same plane. Preferably, the distance between the two receivers is 25m or less, preferably 5m or less, and the distance between the EM field transmitter and the seismic source is ≤ 0.01 times the value of the offset between the EM field transmitter and the EM field receiver. Preferably the EM field transmitter and the seismic source
25 are at substantially the same location, and the EM field receiver and the seismic receiver are at substantially the same location.

Preferably the EM field transmitter comprises an electric dipole antenna, and the EM field receiver comprises an electric dipole antenna.

30

While longer wavelengths applied by electromagnetic techniques cannot provide sufficient information to provide an accurate indication of the boundaries of the various strata they can be used to determine the nature of a particular identified formation, if the possibilities for the nature of that formation have significantly differing electromagnetic characteristics. The resolution is not particularly important and so longer wavelengths which do not suffer from excessive attenuation can be employed.

Seismic surveying techniques, however can detect the boundaries of subterranean strata with some accuracy, but cannot readily identify the nature of strata located. Thus by using both techniques, the results can be combined and potential hydrocarbon bearing reservoirs can be identified with greater certainty.

Electromagnetic and seismic waves obey similar basic wave equations. Thus the time harmonic wave response of a buried layer in a uniform background (overburden) is obtained from the same basic theory in the two cases. The main difference is that, in the electromagnetic case, there is a complex wave number (propagation constant) giving rise to attenuation and dispersion (i.e. pulse distortion in the time domain).

There are generally three contributions to the resulting signal that corresponds to propagation along different paths between the source and the receiver: the direct signal, the reflected signal, and the refracted signal. The refracted signal is caused by a leaky wave-guide mode that is excited in the layer and, in the limit of an infinitely thick layer, it is transformed into a lateral wave or head-wave that is propagated along the upper interface but inside the layer.

In the electromagnetic case the refracted wave is strongly excited only with the transmitter and receiver dipole antennae *in-line*. As functions of the offset distance, both the phase delay and exponential damping of this wave will only depend on the properties of the layer, i.e. the layer-thickness and the resistivity contrast relative to the

overburden. In this case the direct wave is quite weak and, with a low-resistivity overburden, both the direct wave and the reflected wave are strongly damped for large offsets. With a parallel or broadside dipole antenna arrangement, there is a stronger direct and a much weaker refracted wave, so that contributions are mainly seen from the direct and the reflected waves.

Both the phase and the amplitude of the refracted wave depend on the thickness and relative resistivity of the layer, and these dependencies are expressed by simple mathematical formulae that can be utilized for quantitative measurements. However, the amplitude also has an additional offset dependence caused by the geometrical wave spreading in the layer. Therefore, phase measurements combined with amplitude measurements will yield maximum information about the nature of the layer. Additional information can be obtained by recording at different frequencies and utilizing the known frequency dependence of the phase and amplitude of the refracted wave.

With seismic P waves the situation is generally similar to electromagnetic waves and antennae in the broadside configuration: it is mainly the direct and reflected waves that will contribute. This is generally the case if the layer contains gas or liquid hydrocarbons. However, with a solid layer material, there may be mode conversion at the interfaces (e.g. from P waves to S waves and back) in which case, for example, P waves from the seismic source may excite an S wave leaky wave-guide mode in the layer. This mode may then be refracted back into the overburden as a P wave. This situation is analogous to the excitation of the refracted wave with in-line antennae in the electromagnetic case; the main difference is that now it is the contrast in seismic wave velocities, rather than the resistivity contrast, that determines the phase delay (and associated travel time) of the refracted seismic wave. A more reliable determination of the nature of a subterranean reservoir can therefore be obtained by combining knowledge of its seismic response and its electromagnetic response.

As with electromagnetic waves, large offsets are needed in order to record the refracted seismic waves. The two techniques can therefore be conveniently combined in a common survey in which electromagnetic and seismic recordings are performed simultaneously. If the electromagnetic recording antennae are in contact with the seabed, they can be combined with 4C seismic recording systems that enable recording of both P and S waves.

Preferably, the receiver antenna and the seismic receiver are mounted on the same structure, eg. within 5 to 25 seconds of each other, and the EM field and the seismic event are applied simultaneously. Alternatively, the EM field and the seismic event are applied closely sequentially for example 5 to 25 seconds.

In a preferred system, the EM wave field response and/or the seismic response is analysed to identify the respective refracted wave component. Then, the two refracted wave components are used to determine the presence and nature of the strata. Preferably, the system additionally includes extracting and using phase and/or amplitude information from the responses, more preferably from the refracted wave responses. Preferably, the reflected wave is identified in the seismic response, and the reflected wave component is used to identify subterranean strata.

Additionally, the method may include deploying a magnetic receiver at the same location as the other receivers; detecting a magnetic field response; and using the magnetic field response in combination with the EM wave field response and the seismic response. As with the electric field, the magnetic field response is caused both by the EM transmission and the magnetotelluric signal that is always present as a noise background.

The resistivity of seawater is about 0.3 ohm-m and that of the overburden beneath the seabed would typically be from 0.5 to 4 ohm-m, for example about 2 ohm-m. However, the resistivity of a hydrocarbon reservoir is likely to be about 20-300 ohm-

m. Typically, therefore, the resistivity of a hydrocarbon-bearing formation will be 20 to 300 times greater than that of a water-bearing formation. This large difference can be exploited using EM techniques.

5 The electrical resistivity of a hydrocarbon reservoir normally is far higher than the surrounding material (overburden). EM-waves attenuate more rapidly, and travel slower inside a low resistivity medium, compared to a high resistivity medium. Consequently, hydrocarbon reservoir will attenuate EM-waves less, compared to a lower resistivity overburden. Furthermore, the EM-wave speed will be higher inside
10 the reservoir.

Thus, an electric dipole transmitter antenna on or close to the sea floor induces electromagnetic EM fields and currents in the sea water and in the subsurface strata. In the sea water, the EM-fields are strongly attenuated due to the high conductivity in
15 the saline environment, whereas the subsurface strata with less conductivity causes less attenuation. If the frequency is low enough (in the order of 1 Hz), the EM energy is able to penetrate deep into the subsurface, and deeply buried geological layers having higher electrical resistivity than the overburden (as e.g. a hydrocarbon filled reservoir) will affect the EM-waves. Depending on the angle of incidence and state of
20 polarisation, an EM wave incident upon a high resistive layer may excite a ducted (guided) wave mode in the layer. The ducted mode is propagated laterally along the layer and leaks energy back to the overburden and receivers positioned on the sea floor. In the present application, such a wave mode is referred to as a "refracted wave".

25 The distance between the EM source and a receiver is referred to as the offset. Due to the fact that a refracted wave in a hydrocarbon-bearing formation will be less attenuated than a direct wave in seawater (or in the overburden), for any given H/C bearing formation, there will be a critical offset at which the refracted wave and the
30 direct wave will have the same signal strength. This may typically be about two to

three times greater than the shortest distance from the source or receiver to the H/C bearing formation. Thus, when the offset is greater than the critical offset, the radial EM waves that are refracted into, and guided through the reservoir, will pay a major contribution to the received signal. The received signal will be of greater magnitude and arrive earlier (i.e. have less phase shift) compared to the case where there is no HC reservoir. In many cases, the phase change and/or magnitude change recorded at distances greater than the critical offset may be directly used for calculation of the reservoir resistivity. Furthermore, the reservoir depth may be inferred from the critical offset and/or the slope of a curve representing recorded signal phase shift or recorded signal magnitude as a function of transmitter – receiver offset. The most useful EM source-receiver offset is typically larger than the "critical offset". At offsets larger than the critical offset, a change in the slope of a curve representing recorded signal phase shift or recorded signal magnitude as a function of the source-receiver offset may indicate the reservoir boundary.

The offset can be varied by moving the receivers; or the transmitter and seismic source, or even both. Alternatively, the offset can be kept constant by moving both the receiver and the transmitter and seismic source.

Electromagnetic and seismic waves obey similar basic equations. Thus the time harmonic wave response of a buried layer in a uniform background (overburden) is obtained from the same basic theory in the two cases. The main difference is that, in the electromagnetic case, there is a complex wave number (propagation constant) giving rise to attenuation and dispersion (i.e. pulse distortion in the time domain).

If the offset between the EM transmitter and EM receiver is significantly greater than three times the depth of a reservoir from the seabed (i.e. the thickness of the overburden), it will be appreciated that the attenuation of the refracted wave from the reservoir will often be less than that of the direct wave and the reflected wave. The reason for this is the fact that the path of the refracted wave will be effectively the

distance from the transmitter down to the reservoir i.e. the thickness of the overburden, plus the offset along the reservoir, plus the distance from the reservoir up to the receivers i.e. once again the thickness of the overburden.

- 5 If no H/C reservoir is present in the area of the EM transmitter and receiver, the detected wave response will consist of a direct wave and possibly a reflected wave. It will therefore be strongly attenuated and its phase will change rapidly with increasing offset.
- 10 However, if an H/C reservoir is present, there will be a refracted wave component in the wave response and this may predominate. Due to the higher phase velocity (wavespeed) in H/C filled strata, this will have an effect on the phase of the received wave response.
- 15 As a function of offset between source and receiver, the phase of the refracted wave will change almost linearly and much slower than the phases of the direct and reflected waves and, since the latter waves are also much more strongly attenuated with increasing offset, there will be a transition from a rapid phase variation to a slow phase variation with nearly constant slope, indicating the presence of the H/C reservoir. If
- 20 the edge of the reservoir is crossed, this slow phase variation will change to a rapid phase variation and strong attenuation. Thus, for large offsets, a change from a slow, linear phase variation to a rapid one, or vice versa, will indicate the boundary of an H/C reservoir.
- 25 If a constant offset is maintained between transmitter and receiver while varying the position of one or both, the recorded phase shift should be constant as long as the resistivity of the subsurface strata below and between the source and receiver is constant. If a change in phase shift is detected while moving the transmitter and/or receiver at constant offset, this would indicate that one of the instruments is in the
- 30 vicinity of the boundary of a H/C reservoir.

The polarization of the source transmission will determine how much energy is transmitted into the oil-bearing layer in the direction of the receiver. A dipole antenna is therefore the selected transmitter. In general, it is preferable to adopt a dipole for which the current moment, ie. the product of the current and the effective length, is large. The transmitter dipole may therefore be 100 to 1000 meters in length and may be towed in two different directions, which may be orthogonal. The receiver dipole optimum length is determined by the current moment of the source dipole and the thickness of the overburden.

10

The technique of the invention can be applicable in exploring land-based subterranean reservoirs but is especially applicable to submarine, in particular sub-sea, subterranean reservoirs. Preferably the EM field is applied using one or more transmitters located on the earth's surface, and the detection is carried out by one or more receivers located on the earth's surface. In a preferred application, the transmitter(s) and/or receivers are located on or close to the seabed or the bed of some other area of water.

15

The transmitted EM field may be pulsed, however, a coherent continuous wave optionally with stepped frequencies is preferred. It may be transmitted for a significant period of time, during which the transmitter should preferably be stationary (although it could be moving slowly), and the transmission stable. Thus, the field may be transmitted for a period of time from 3 seconds to 60 minutes, preferably from 10 seconds to 5 minutes, for example about 1 minute. The EM receivers may also be arranged to detect a direct and a reflected wave as well as the refracted wave from the reservoir, and the analysis may include distinguishing phase and amplitude data of the refracted wave from corresponding data from the direct wave.

20

25

Preferably, the wavelength of the transmission should be in the range

30

$$0.1s \leq \lambda \leq 5s;$$

where λ is the wavelength of the transmission through the overburden and s is the distance from the seabed to the reservoir. More preferably λ is from about $0.5s$ to $2s$. The transmission frequency may be from 0.01 Hz to 1 kHz, preferably from 0.1 to 20 Hz, for example 1 Hz.

5

Preferably, the distance between the transmitter and a receiver should be in the range

$$0.5 \lambda \leq L \leq 10 \lambda;$$

10 where λ is the wavelength of the transmission through the overburden and L is the distance between the transmitter and the first receiver.

It will be appreciated that the present invention may be used to determine the position, the extent, the nature and the volume of a particular stratum, and may also be used to
15 detect changes in these parameters over a period of time eg. by leaving the receivers (and possibly also the EM field transmitter and the seismic source) in situ.

The electromagnetic signals are sensitive to the electrical resistivity of subterranean layers and, therefore, electromagnetic methods are well suited for the detection of high
20 resistive layers such as H/C reservoirs. However, layers without hydrocarbons may also have high electrical resistivities, eg. layers consisting of salt, basalt, calcite strings, or other dense rocks with low porosities and low water contents. High-resistive layers of this type will generally have higher seismic velocities than the low-resistive overburden, whereas high-resistive H/C reservoirs generally have lower
25 seismic velocities than the low-resistive overburden. Seismic methods can therefore be used to distinguish high resistive H/C reservoirs from other high resistive layers.

A distinction between H/C reservoirs and other high-resistive layers can be made on the basis of available seismic reflection data for the prospect in question. However, a

more reliable distinction will be obtained from seismic refraction data recorded with large offsets between the seismic source and the seismic receiver. This can preferably be carried out in combination with the electromagnetic data collection.

- 5 Electromagnetic receiver antennae that are located at the sea bed will preferably be combined with seismic receivers that are also in contact with the sea bed. This means that only one survey will be required to record both the electromagnetic and seismic data, and it will be possible to perform a full four component (three components of the displacement vector in addition to the pressure) - 4C - seismic recording of both the *P*-
10 and *S*-wave components of the refracted seismic signals.

- It will be appreciated that the absence of any refracted wave component in either the EM wave field response or the seismic response will indicate no formations with a differing resistivity or differing acoustic properties present. The presence of a
15 refracted wave component in both the EM field response and the seismic response will indicate the presence of a formation with high resistivity and high acoustic velocity (low porosity) which would suggest eg. basalt or a salt dome. The presence of a refracted EM wave component and the absence of a refracted seismic wave component will indicate high resistivity together with low acoustic velocity and so low porosity,
20 which would suggest an H/C (hydrocarbon) reservoir in perhaps a porous rock formation such as sandstone.

- Thus, for large offsets, a high-resistive layer with hydrocarbons is characterised by the presence of a refracted electromagnetic wave without any refracted seismic wave. In
25 contrast, a high-resistive layer that is without hydrocarbons is characterised by the presence of both a refracted electromagnetic wave and a refracted seismic wave. By recording both wave types in the same survey, it is possible to obtain a more reliable identification of H/C reservoirs.

- 30 The seismic equipment, including the source and receiver may be conventional both in

its design and its use.

The invention enables operators to avoid the effort and expense of a 3D survey by carrying out what amounts to an initial 2D seismic survey and then carrying a method
5 in accordance with the invention in relation to areas of potential interest revealed by the initial survey.

The present invention extends to a receiver assembly comprising: a support structure; an electric dipole receiver antenna mounted on the support structure; a three axis
10 seismic receiver mounted on the support structure; a geophone arrangement mounted on the support structure; a hydrophone mounted on the support structure; and an anchor arranged to attach the support structure to the sea bed.

The invention also extends to a method of investigating subsea strata as described
15 above in relation to producing a survey report, and also to a survey report produced by the methods of the invention.

Claims

1. A method of producing a survey report of subterranean strata which comprises:
deploying an electromagnetic (EM) field transmitter; deploying a seismic source at
5 substantially the same location as the EM field transmitter; deploying an EM field
receiver at a predetermined offset distance from the transmitter; deploying a seismic
receiver at substantially the same location as the EM field receiver; applying an EM
field to the strata using the EM field transmitter; detecting the EM wave field response
using the EM field receiver; applying a seismic event to the strata using the seismic
10 source at substantially the same location as the EM field transmitter; detecting the
seismic response using the seismic receiver at substantially the same location as the
EM field receiver; analysing the EM wave field response; analysing the seismic
response and reconciling the two responses, in order to produce a report on the
presence and nature of the strata.
15
2. A method as claimed in Claim 1, which additionally includes extracting and
using phase and/or amplitude information from the responses.
3. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, which includes identifying the
20 refracted wave component of the EM wave field response, identifying the refracted
wave component of the seismic response, and using the two refracted wave
components to produce the survey report.
4. A method as claimed in Claim 3, in which phase and/or amplitude information
25 from the two refracted wave components is used.
5. A method of producing a survey report of subterranean strata using an EM
wave field response from an applied EM field and a seismic response from an applied
seismic event, the method comprising: identifying the refracted wave component of
30 the EM wave field response; identifying the refracted wave component of the seismic

response; and using the two refracted wave components to produce a report on the presence and nature of the strata.

6. A method as claimed in Claim 5, in which phase and/or amplitude information
5 from the two refracted wave components is used.

7. A method as claimed in Claim 5 or Claim 6, which includes the steps of:
deploying an EM field transmitter; deploying a seismic source; deploying an EM field
receiver at a predetermined offset from the EM field transmitter; deploying a seismic
10 receiver at a predetermined offset from the seismic source; applying an EM field to the
strata using the EM field transmitter; detecting the EM wave field response using the
EM field receiver; applying a seismic event to the strata using the seismic source; and
detecting the seismic response using the seismic receiver.

8. A method as claimed in Claim 7, in which the EM field transmitter, the seismic
15 source and the two receivers are all in the same plane.

9. A method as claimed in Claim 7 or Claim 8, in which the distance between the
two receivers is 25m or less, preferably 5m or less.

10. A method as claimed in any of Claims 7 to 9, in which the distance between
the EM field transmitter and the seismic source is ≤ 0.01 times the value of the offset
between the EM field transmitter and the EM field receiver.

11. A method as claimed in any of Claims 7 to 10, in which the EM field
25 transmitter and the seismic source are at substantially the same location.

12. A method as claimed in any of Claims 7 to 11, in which the EM field receiver
and the seismic receiver are at substantially the same location.

13. A method as claimed in any of Claims 1 to 4 or Claims 7 to 13, in which the EM field transmitter comprises an electric dipole antenna.
14. A method as claimed in any of Claims 1 to 4 or Claims 7 to 14, in which the
5 EM field receiver comprises an electric dipole antenna.
15. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the EM field receiver and the seismic receiver are mounted on the same structure.
- 10 16. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the EM field and the seismic event are applied simultaneously.
17. A method as claimed in any of Claims 1 to 15, in which the EM field and the seismic event are applied closely sequentially for example 5 to 25 seconds.
- 15 18. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the reflected wave component of the seismic response is identified and the reflected wave component is used to identify subterranean strata.
- 20 19. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, which includes: additionally, deploying a magnetic receiver at substantially the same location as the EM field receiver; detecting a magnetic field response; and using the magnetic field response in combination with the EM wave field response and the seismic response.
- 25 20. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, which comprises repeating the procedure with the EM field transmitter and seismic source, and/or the EM field receiver and seismic receiver, in different locations for a plurality of EM transmissions and seismics events.
- 30 21. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the procedure is

repeated at different offsets.

22. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim which includes the deployment and use of a plurality of EM field receivers and/or a plurality of seismic receivers.

5

23. A method as claimed in Claim 22, in which the EM field receivers and the seismic receivers are mounted on a cable.

10

24. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the EM field transmitter and/or the seismic source, and/or EM receiver and/or seismic receiver, are located on or close to the seabed or the bed of some other area of water.

15

25. A method as claimed in Claim 24, in which the seismic source is located at or near the surface of the area of water.

26. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the frequency of the EM field is continuously varied over the transmission period.

20

27. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the EM field is transmitted for a period of time for 3 seconds to 60 minutes.

28. A method as claimed in Claim 27, in which the transmission time is from 10 seconds to 5 minutes.

25

29. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the wavelength of the transmission is given by the formula

30

$$0.1s \leq \lambda \leq 10s;$$

wherein λ is the wavelength of the transmission through the overburden and s is the distance from the seabed to the reservoir.

30. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the offset between the EM field transmitter and the EM field receiver is given by the formula:

$$0.5\lambda \leq L \leq 10\lambda;$$

10

where λ is the wavelength of the transmission through the overburden and L is the distance between the transmitter and the receiver.

31. A method as claimed in any of Claims 26 to 30, in which the transmission frequency is from 0.01 Hz to 1 kHz.

15

32. A method as claimed in Claim 31, in which the transmission frequency is from 0.1 to 20 Hz.

33. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the seismic receiver records a full flow component seismic recording, comprising three displacement vector components and a pressure component.

20

34. Apparatus for use in carrying out a method as claimed in any preceding Claim, including a receiver assembly comprising: a support structure; an electric dipole receiver antenna mounted on the support structure; a three axis seismic receiver mounted on the support structure; a geophone arrangement mounted on the support structure; a hydrophone mounted on the support structure; and an anchor arranged to attach the support structure to the sea bed.

25

30

35. A survey report produced by a method as claimed in any of Claims 1 to 33.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.
PCT/GB2004/001144

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 G01V11/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G01V

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 02/14906 A (REES DAVID CHRISTOPHER ; PEDERSEN HANS MAGNE (NO); EIDESMO TERJE (N) 21 February 2002 (2002-02-21) page 5, line 18 - page 6, line 2 page 6, line 27, 28 page 10, line 4-7	5-35
A	US 3 975 674 A (MCEUEN ROBERT B) 17 August 1976 (1976-08-17) column 11, line 15 - line 23 column 11, line 59 - line 68 claim 1	1-35
A	US 2003/043692 A1 (WESTERDAHL HARALD ET AL) 6 March 2003 (2003-03-06) claims 1, 2	1-35

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

E earlier document but published on or after the International filing date

L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

P document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Z document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the International search

22 June 2004

Date of mailing of the International search report

07/07/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.O. Box 5818 Patentkan 2
NL - 2260 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 apo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3018

Authorized officer

Schneiderbauer, K

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
/GB2004/001144

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>WHITE, BOERNER, WU, LUCAS, BERRER, HANNILA, SOMERVILLE: "Mineral exploration in the Thompson nickel belt, Manitoba, Canada, using seismic and controlled-source EM methods" GEOPHYSICS, SEG, vol. 65, no. 6, 1 November 2000 (2000-11-01) - 31 December 2000 (2000-12-31), pages 1871-1881, XP001182037 page 1875, right-hand column -page 1877, right-hand column; figure 7</p>	1-35
A	<p>US 2003/038634 A1 (STRACK KURT-MARTIN) 27 February 2003 (2003-02-27) page 2, paragraph 21 page 3, paragraphs 37,38 page 6, paragraph 65 claim 1; figures 2,3</p>	1-35

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

/GB2004/001144

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0214906	A	21-02-2002	AT 263383 T	15-04-2004
			AU 7858001 A	25-02-2002
			BR 0113208 A	01-07-2003
			CA 2417832 A1	21-02-2002
			CN 1447924 T	08-10-2003
			DE 60102595 D1	06-05-2004
			DK 1309887 T3	07-06-2004
			EG 22885 A	30-10-2003
			EP 1309887 A1	14-05-2003
			WO 0214906 A1	21-02-2002
			NO 20020201 A	02-04-2002
			US 2004027130 A1	12-02-2004
US 3975674	A	17-08-1976	NONE	
US 2003043692	A1	06-03-2003	AU 3039201 A	14-08-2001
			BR 0108016 A	29-10-2002
			CA 2399051 A1	09-08-2001
			CN 1406340 T	26-03-2003
			EG 22924 A	30-11-2003
			EP 1256019 A1	13-11-2002
			WO 0157555 A1	09-08-2001
			NO 20023656 A	30-09-2002
US 2003038634	A1	27-02-2003	EP 1428047 A1	16-06-2004
			WO 03019237 A1	06-03-2003
			US 2003184299 A1	02-10-2003